MEETING MINUTES ESTIMATING BACKGROUND AT ROCKY FLATS PLANT SPEAKER: RICHARD GILBERT HELD JUNE 7, 1993

Meeting Attendees:

Rick Roberts(EG&G)
Beverly Ramsey(SMS)

Richard DeGrandchamp(PRC)

Bonnie Lavelle(EPA) Amy E. Johnson(CDH)

Ralph Lindberg(EG&G)

Denny Weier(EG&G)

Jen Pepe(DOE/ERD)
Mike Garsuchi(EPA)

Joe Schieffelin(CDH)

Terry Jack(IMAC), Facilitator

Diane Niedzwiecki(CDH)

Mary A. Siders(EG&G)

Fred A. Harrington(EG&G)

Dennis Smith(EG&G)

Jeffrey Bray(EG&G)

Jeb Love(CDH)

Tim O'Rourke(EG&G)

Gary Kleeman(EPA)

Cindy Gee(EG&G)

Jeff Swanson(CDH)

Bruce Thatcher(DOE)

1. Expectations

- -An agreement in methodology for comparison of background concentrations for Remedial Investigations on Operable Units
- -A meeting which considers technical issues, not political
- -A need to be pragmatic in approach to achieve efficiency
- -An agreement on what is background at Rocky Flats Plant
- -Receive opinion on sensitivity decisions to definition of background
- -Communication between agencies concerning methods

2. DOE/EG&G APPROACH

(Dennis Smith)

Information collected during Remedial Investigations is used for Human Health Risk Assessment, Environmental Evaluation Risk Assessment, Nature and Extent, Modelers, and Regulatory Compliance Analysis. The tools for these information users include UTL comparisons; Spatial, Temporal, and Gradient distributions; and ANOVA measurements. These tools are used in comparison of Contaminants of Concern(COC) to background concentrations.

DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WAIVER PER CLASSIFICATION OFFICE

3. EPA POSITION

(Bonnie Lavelle)

Objective is to have a background comparison method which minimizes professional judgement. Elimination of contaminants should occur when levels are below background, not by use of professional judgement. EPA feels that UTL and 5% rule do not represent the samples entirely. Gilbert agrees that 5% rule should not be used for removing data.

4. CDH POSITION

(Jeff Swanson)

CDH does not have a position on the appropriate method for background comparison. An effective method would be one which produces a defensible document for the public and one which involves communication between agencies.

5. Ralph Lindberg explained the location and sampling techniques used at Rock Creek.

Question:

Is Rock Creek good for background comparison? Answer:(Ralph)

There is a possibility that airborne particles reach surficial soils in the Rock Creek area. (Radionuclides) Questions exist concerning possible contamination from upgradient railroad tracks.

- 6. Richard Gilbert explained concepts used in defining background (see copies of overhead projections)
 - -Two Concepts of "Background"
 - -Approach to Comparisons
 - -Criteria for Selecting A Test
 - -Issues in Comparing Site to Background
 - -Parametric and Nonparametric testing
 - -Tolerance Interval Approach
 - -Density Distribution comparing Background to Cleanup areas
- 7. Discussion of background characterization completed at Westinghouse-Hanford (see copies of overhead projections)
- 8. Richard Gilbert explained concepts used in comparison to background

Question(Dennis)

What procedures do you follow when running separate analyses of common data and receiving different answers(P Values)?

Answer(Richard Gilbert)

- -Examine data to determine context
- -Using judgement, determine best test
- -May be a need for more data

(Gilbert) UTL is an indicator, should not be used to make decisions.

- 9. Recommendations in Statistical Discussion and Process
 - 1. Develop rationale for each "test"in tool box
 - 2. Do multiple "tests" in above context
 - 3. Use tests with minimum assumptions unless you can validate assumptions
 - 4. Use UTL as a screening tool indicator, never as a definitive test
 - 5. Include graphical and descriptive methods in tool box
 - 6. Develop better understanding of performance of tools in tool box
 - 7. Reach consensus on the site distributors important to detect
 - 8. Use DQO process in future
 - 9. Institutionalize "team approach" to planning
 - 10. Don't forget Phase III (Geologic Knowledge)

(Gilbert) If all concentrations are under UTL(dependent upon number of samples) then there is no contamination problem

- spatial, temporal, and seasonal conditions may act as exceptions
- 10. Mary Siders explains Selection of Statistical Method for Comparison of Background and Nonbackground Populations flowchart -used as a screen, not as a definitive test
- 11. Gilbert recommends use of Helsel method for non-detect samples
- 12. Statistics Flowchart(1), Geosciences Flowchart(2), and Gilbert Approach(3) were discussed as method options for background comparison
- 13. Beverly Ramsey proposed Richard Gilbert, as a contractor of DOE, to develop a methodology for background comparison which can be used for future Operable Units(OU).

Comments on Proposal:

EPA(Lavelle)

A May 20, 1993 stated EPA favored a third party opinion. Gilbert completing the proposed methodology will follow their concerns in the letter.

CDH(Swanson)

Agrees the use of Gilbert will be beneficial

DOE(Thatcher)

In favor of having third party arbitrator

Items Made Deliverable to Richard Gilbert:

OU 7 data from Tim O'Rourke

OU 1 data from Gary Kleeman

Background data from Mary Siders

Field Sampling and Analysis Plan from workplans of OU 1 and OU 7

* Most data will be given to Gilbert by end of day on 7/8/93 in a statistically and graphically useable manner ("cleaned up" data)

Gilbert is to complete a detailed recommendation for background comparison and a general flowchart for future by July 31.

Attender

and the second s	- 1.1100100		
Nama	Organization)	301-353-007Z 966-3203	Jax
BEVERLY RAMSEY	5MS	966-3203	301-353.0076
Bruce Thatcher	DOE RFO	303-966-3532	966-487
Pichard Dalpandonan		203-25-401	203-276-1201
Pourie LAVELLE	EPA	303-294-1067	303-294-755
Truy & Colorson		303 692-2636	303 782-018.
iane Niedzwieck	i CDH	303-692-2651	303-782-018.
ick Phots	EGRG	(303) 966-8508	(303) 669 - 8226
Mary A. Siders,	26°G	<i>3</i> 03 966 - 6933	303 966-8556
Fred A. Havangton	F6+6	303 966- 8744	(303) 966-8663
) eurs Smith	$E \leftarrow fG$	966. 863C	
Teffing Bay	E6,6	966 - 8695	
Reph Lindberg	GAG	966-8582	
leb Love.	CDH	692-3511	
darko. Thebut	PNL	(509) 375-2979	(569) 375-7641
ERRY LACK	IMAC	303-757-7796	303-757-7186
Jenny Weier	EG46	303-966-4194	303-566-5563
Tim ORcance	EG+G	>67 966-8577	305 966 -8556
Jen Pepe	DOE/ERD	966-2184	966-4871
Fary Kleeman	EPA	294-1071	294-7559
rike Harrichi	EPA	303-293-15-10	293-1729
-indy Gee -	EP; C	966-8550	966-8556 159-5355
ce Schieffelin	CDH	692-3356	159-5355
JEFF Swanson	e PH	692-346	759-5355